Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,420	GRACIAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul D Kim	3729

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Paul D Kim*.   (3)*Timothy Oyer*.

(2) *Tani Chen*.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *08 October 2003*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
   c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☐ No.
   If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Bowden et al.* .

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☒ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Discussed issues to overcome the reference of Bowden et al. Applicants discussed major differences between the prior art of Bowden et al. and their invention as claimed. It appears that the applicants' arguments are persuasive in overcoming the prior rejections and the applicants are to file a response formally for consideration.*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

_____
Examiner's signature, if required